

And this great controversy which they had made a great step in the church as a whole (exerting authority over the church) that is the western church and they failed at this point to succeed in a good many others such as (question) $\frac{1}{2}$ It is a very very fine thing if you were not sure that somebody is always right to go back over what he did and say the right things he did were ex cathedra and the wrong things were not. The difficulty is the once you start making that distinction then from then on some one is apt to ask you a head of time about something you say is ex cathedra or not. Of course once that then you are in difficulty. It is very interesting to know that when the pope spoke ~~ex cathedra~~ ex cathedra on the assumption of Mary, ~~the~~ recently and declared ex cathedra what the ~~the~~ true what the true doctrine is about Mary, he guarded his words so very very very carefully that the statement as it is is something while Protestants can not accept is something which is very very far from what it contains. And if one Roman Catholic wanted to say that Mary never died and that Mary ascended right up to heaven and if another says that she died and that her body was taken up to heaven, either of them can be perfectly orthodox as far as what the pope says, he guarded his words with such minute character that it would be pretty difficult unless you take our protestant view point to say that he was wrong in this ex cathedra statement, but the early popes did not do this because they have not heard of this yet, they did not realize that they were supposed to be able to speak with divine authority. But the, of course from that view point these particular acts I just mentioned would be considered administrative any way rather than ex cathedra, that refers more to. But Leo while he succeeded in extending the power of the bishop in Rome very very greatly, and while he raised it recognition in the eyes of the world far higher than it had ever been before by its greatness and his hard work and his clear thinking and his good decision on many points, he made one real failure and this to exert his authority up there. Now ~~this view presented~~ Leo as we noticed was a bishop who was interested in administration but he was also interested in doctrine, before I come to that