

submit Rome to muder or fire, he got the promise of the man that he would order his people not to go and not to kill, and that of course was a tremendous relief from what it might have been. Aleric you remember in his attack in Rome had regarded the churches and not injured anybody in the churches and kept his men there for three days and then ground his men out after three days which was a tremendous thing to do, tremendous control over his men, much more than the so called christian emperor 900 years later was able to do over his people and/

but Aleric did that after three days, now Genseric left his men there for fourteen days, and for fifteen days they went through Rome seizing anything they liked, taking it off with them much like the Russians did in Berlin in 1945, seizing, destroying and taking away but they did not burn and did not kill the people in Rome. I suppose some were injured who got in the way, but it was the they were after, but in fourteen days the amount of plunder they took was positively terrific and so they loaded their ships with great works of art and gold and all sorts of things that the wealthy people of Rome had accumulated in many centuries and they went off with it and it was a terrific loss but nothing to what it would have been if they had burned the city and killed the people, of course Leo got credit for that for having protected Rome to that extent even though not as complete protection that he was able to give against Attila, and after Genseric went away Leo led in the consequent trying to alleviate the suffering and the destitute and trying to restore and trying to help the people who were in misery as having everything from them. And
(end of record)

yet each of them was a tremendous help to the people of Rome c92s
and Rome and its reputation as having been the for so many centuries naturally the knowledge of what he had done for Rome raise his prestige all over as to what it was. And so Leo is a great figure, an outstanding figure and so there is nothing which he did which is more important or more outstanding than his contribution to the christological controversies. The