

influence, than the later ones, they are the beginnings of different movements and people are always looking back to these ~~xxx~~ centuries as if what was done determines what will be done at any time. And the attitude is taken as if these are days when people were right close to the apostles actually if we notice pope Leo the Great was over 400 hundred years after the death of Christ, and actually his direct contact was great is no closer than our direct contact today with Martin Luther. It is a long way back. The whole history of protestantism is no longer that the time from Christ to Leo, actually you are very far along in Christian history. But many things were beginning in those days which have been vital in days since and people go back to those days for authority, and it is vital that we know how much or how little authority there is in it, also that we realize this fact which every body knows which very few pay any attention to it, but actually the days of pope Leo were pretty far along in church history. (question) Did they say no evidence at all, they probably said no contemporary evidence. Well they would speak just about that way on any attack you make on the Roman Church, but when it comes to getting into ~~gases~~ and ~~details~~ details they will admit I am sure that the book, Church History by Centuries says that a donatist bishop in the donatist in the controversy, 100 years after the time of Marcellinus, made the statement against the catholics in Africa, in the controversy with Augustine, he said that everybody knows that Marcellinus, one of the leading bishops, the bishop of Rome denied the faith in the persecutions, and denied the faith in order to escape and that is pointed out in this Roman Catholic History, and it says, and now this of course is said by a Donatist, who was an enemy of the church, we can not trust what the man says, however we have no evidence to the contrary and we had better say that he had not quite shown as much bravery as he ~~was~~ should have, under the persecution. That is to say it is altogether possible that the Donatist bishop was completely ~~misunderstood~~ informed, it was possible that Marcellinus did not deny the faith, but as much as Marcellinus