suggestion of any pope . The first three none of them were presided over by papal legates, no representative of the pope had any great influnede in be obting determinin what was done in the first three. The fourth one the papal legated presided and the letter of thepope, not on account of his authority but on account of his clear thinking contained the letter, was accepted as good statement as of the christian faith on the person of Christ by the council, 600 bishops were gathered signed the statement which was put forth by the council, the statment affirmed the fact that Christ is one person but that he has two natures, he is of the ame nature with God the F^Ather, of one essence with God the Father, but he is of the same nature with with us that he is a human being like as we are , notice that it is not identical, the relationshop, he is one with the Father he is similiar nature with us, a nature like ours except apart from sin. But hs is fully God and fully man and the two naturew are not divided in such a way that it makes him two persons, he is one person but neither are they mixed us such a way as to interfere in any way with the complete integrity of each nature, there are not mixed, they are not divided, one person, two natures, we can not understand it , neither can we understand any of the great facts of life, we can not understand them we can only recognize them and we can attempt to state them in language which bars them agsingt misunderstanding. And so the council of Chalcedon 400 years later after the death of Christ affirmed a statement which is recognized by most students of the Bible as being of very close staemtne as to what the Bibl.e teache on the Person of Chirst. Though Actually, in my oponion, it is more of a statement of what is does not teach. It is giving the point fwhere we have been guzzding against misunderstandig it is not using there, it is not under there but it is over here, but when you get there just exactly what is it, whether there is one person in two natures or fwhen it comes tounderstanding how that can be , but how can a person be a maind and body. We can't understand it, it is an utter mystery, when the philosophers today deny deny one or the other. The fact is the both exidt and they interact.

55