studied about them particularly in your study of the account, but they are important, the Caroline book. The word is taken from the word Chartes, and Charlemagne of whom we must speak some was at the end of the century in control of the western portion of the empire and he became an emperor of it and for the first time in many centuries you had a western emperor as well as an eastern emperor, but at this point, we mention that books were issued in his name in which they speak in the first person as if he was speaking and whether he wrote them or whether his leading scholar wrote them, they came out in his name and in these books he apposes the decision of the 7th council and says it is wrong and wicked, that it is wrong to worship images and strongly opposes sit, what he considers to be the attitude of the , however, the Roman Catholic books say that this was due to a misunderstanding of what the conncil had done, but actually that he was not in opposition to it. Schaff says as he reads some of what he said, it sounds almost , some portion of it, thoroughly ppposed to what the council had done, but it is true that a translation of the act of the council from Greek into Latin was a very very poor translation. That had a very very poor translation of it, but now they say the Caroline books were due to a bad Latin translation and a misunderstanding of what the council but the fact of the matter is that at least the attitude of the Caroline books, which was the attitude of the church of France largely at this time is an attitude which is much nearer our protestant position that nearer to the position to the R.C. church of today. Well, now so much for the iconclastic contraversy. Now we must look at B, the  $\not \in \not \in$  conversion of Germany. It is interesting and a large subject, but I don't want to take much time at it though, I want to mention one man whose name is worth remembering, an Englishman named Boniface. Boniface, an Englishman was a man who came from the English church who was dominated by the church of Rome. He is different from the Iristh missionary. He takes the Roman views and he gives the Roman bishop a position of leadership (end of record)