an interesting idea this using ppaper money, but of Marco Polo failed even to notice something much more important than even paper money, he never even mention or potice irt and that was printing. And the fact of the matter was that the paper money that Marco Palo paid more attention to was actually printed, and here was this pirinted money and thing that impressed him was that htye had money that was paper and he never evne noticed the far more vital thing that it was printed paper, much more important for civilization, but he did not even notice it, and that is true in our day and is true in every day, that you have to get excited over some  $t/\frac{1}{2}$  controversy that is a not so vital and some other principle that is far more important, it th re but is sot sort of lost in the schufel, this lesser question was the big point at dispute, in the course of the ardent discussion this century the fact is clear that in this 9th centur when we had our first clear picture of the belief in transubstantiation you also had leaders in the thinking portino of the church, that is writers and that is people who are highly regarded, who hedl that it is a spirtiual communion, that it is not a physical body and blood of Christ that you partake, and they were recotnized and and accepted in the church and not considered as hereitcs, or east out of the church, they were two canturies later, it becomes a point of orthodoxy in the 11th century, but in the 9th cnetury, now I think we have time for I think just a word about the later development of thisl. This was the thing wheich came to be the thing very center of the Roman Cathelic Church, that is Today, and this is the thing that When Luther came out against it more than anyting else put him outside of the , when he denied tran substantiation and strongly opposed it, and Zwingli went to the extreme and saying the these are only symbold and this represents Christ and this represents his body, Calar Calvin did not \$1,66 follow Zwingli in this, Calvin later on took a position that or wheih he said there is a dynamic presence of Christ there is an actual presence of Chris Christ body and spirti in a dynamic way so that we actually the mon him , we don't just don't go throgh a ceremony that represents an idea we acutually do something but he never held that it was actually the physical body and blood of Chirst, now Calvin is later and Zwingli is early at the time of Luther. Luther went str ngly against transubstantiation, but Luther newertheless was strongly influenced by the experience