

thinming about them, will be able to do it. But it isn't a certainty.

(question) \* Now, I would think, for the church to say, we think this man in this department isn't teaching just right, \* ro we think the organization should be a little different, it is pretty hard for them to ~~make~~ make a decision. But a college is ~~not~~ pretty sure to stand right if its directors are really men who are devoted to the Scripture. Now, most of our colleges, they get a man in. Here is a man who has a lot of money and it would be ~~great~~ great to have this man in our college. And so, his name is published and they get him in. It is not hard to find out a little about ~~him~~ him. One man is not going to wreck a school. If a bunch of men were like the man. Suppose a church says, this school has elected this man to its directorship. Now this man, we have strong reason to believe that his ~~symp~~ sympathies are not in line with the type of Christian education that we believe in. Therefore, if the school is going to go in that direction, we will have to withdraw our sympathy from it. Now, if the school hasn't gotten in that direction, ~~if the school is going to go in that direction, it's only one~~ it's only one \* man, his influence may not be too ~~great~~ great at all. But ~~either~~ under the circumstances, this school will face the problem. They will say, this man is throughly sound here, there is nothing wrong with him. ~~And they can discuss it and give evidence.~~ And they can discuss it and give evidence. And, on the other hand, they say the evidence is not ~~satisfactory~~ satisfactory, they can say, well we differ with you, ~~you~~ we will go our way, and you can go yours. Something like that. But, the chances are, if you are going to get one man, the rest will say, now, we made a ~~mistake~~ mistake, we won't elect anybody more like this. And the man will probably resign. They won't ~~re-elect~~ re-elect him next year. Or, they can say this, they can say, we have elected this man, and we thought ~~that~~ that he was right, we still think so. But you don't think so, and we value your friendship, and we will agree that we amde a mistkae in this case, and we don't think you will find anybody else elected that you will have strong reason to think that he is not sound. You see what I ~~mean~~ mean. It is a matter that if you put your emphasis on the vital thing, ~~are~~ are ~~the men sound, but when you go in and try to decide, are they capable men, are they men who are, you know, you go into all kinds of questions, & you~~ the men sound, but when you go in and try to decide, are they capable men, are they men who are, you know, you go into all kinds of questions, & you