

the idea that you are misrepresenting, or that you are swayed by personal considerations, and so on, and there are places where it is good to have a group, that is an elected group, that ~~xxxx~~ investigates and makes the decision, without having to go into every detail with the members.

But, those are arguments pro and con on that. Now, another thing I would like to say about this, another problem that enters into this. If you are to ~~persuade~~ persuade your people to turn in their tithe to the governing body of the church for decision, then I think that one problem which ~~x~~ arises is when you have one or two people in the church whose income is much greater than that of others. Now, of course, that is a serious problem in a church, anyway. It is very nice to ~~gix~~ go into a church where there are one or two people who have so much money that they can just pay your salary and that is that. It is very nice. But it has very great disadvantages. And it is much better to get it so that ~~there~~ it is the church as a whole, rather than ~~x~~ any individual to whom you are looking, or to whom the church is looking, in particular matters.

Well, now, I would say if you have a situation where one man, or two people in the church, can give as much as the rest of the church put together, or would if they tithed, it is highly questionable whether you should try to get them to give their money toward a committee for the church to decide what to ~~do~~ do with it. It makes too much of an unnatural situation, and it is too apt to lead to jealousies and misunderstanding. I think it would be very well, in such a case, to try to persuade them to give some ~~equal~~ equal to that of the average of the congregation, but in such a case, if they want to, of course that is up to them. But the situation where you have one or two people who are so important financially in the support, that if they get ~~dissatisfied~~ dissatisfied and withdraw their support it means you can't carry out your pledges, is not a good situation.

(question) I think that it would be well to impress on the man with the large income that as a steward of the Lord, the Lord has given him this money, as a steward of the Lord he has a responsibility to carefully ~~to~~ consider how it is to be spent. But, it might be that he would want to give it all to the church