

several of his generals seized large areas for themselves ~~taxestablishthe~~ and established new Kingdoms, That's what happened. And we read here in v.8 that for it the great ~~horn~~ horn was broken, and for it came up four notable ones towards the four winds of heaven. It suggests that it is a picture of what happened to Alexander's empire. And it is explained again in v.22, and we can be dogmatic here. This is not an inference, not a guess, as to what the symbol means. Itx is absolutely clear. "Now that being broken, whereas four stood up for it, four kingdoms shall stand up out of the nation, but not in his power." No one of them was ~~xxx~~ as great as Alexander. It wouldn't mean that they were weaklings, but no one of them as great as he was. Well, now I have here a commentary on Daniel which was written ~~wrath~~ ~~r~~ recently, and in this commentary I read a statement which says that, now page 21 in the introduction is says, "Not it is contrary to the nature and genius of prophecy to reveal the future as detailed history. In all prophecy there is an element of obscurity, and perhaps even of ~~ambiguity~~ ambiguity." Now there is a specific statement made in the introduction to the commentary on Daniel by an orthodox man who believes in ~~God's~~ God's Word, and whatever it teaches is true. But what do you think of that statement. Does that fit with what we have just given? "It is contrary to the nature and genius of prophecy to reveal the future as detailed history. In all prophecy there is an element of ~~obscurity~~ obscurity, and perhaps even ambiguity." What is the element of prophecy and ambiguity that we have just looked at? ...end of/

D 5

.....well, it doesn't tell the names of Alexander's generals. And it doesn't tell the year in which he is going to rule. And it doesn't tell which of these generals will rule in which section, and it doesn't tell the name of the last Persian king who is going to be destroyed. There are hundreds of facts it doesn't give. But you can take any history book every written, and there are hundreds of facts it doesn't give. You take a history of ~~the~~ the civil war. a history of the U.S., and there is a history of the civil war in ten pages, and you read it, and it tells you about a few of the leading battles of the leading forces, but there are hundreds of battles not ~~in~~ named, but it isn't obscure. You take a history of the civil war that is 400 pages long, and there are still