of this particular **xhaker** chapter, I think most conservatives, practically all scholarly conservatives, I would say, would agree on it. But then your modernists say, MEXX No, this history is so apt, Daniel / couldn't. if there ever was a man like Daniel, he coudln't have known that there was going to be a Greek empire that would conquer the Medo-Persian empire. How would he ever think of anything like that? Why, they say, this is somebody in the time of A.E. who takes the past events and imagines that somebody saw them, and describes them as a vision which somebody had 400 years before, but sunder that picture he describes what he knows actually happened, and that is what all your modernists will say. A man is writing at the time of A.E. He imagines somebody 400 years before, getting a vision of the haitory, and he describes the genreal line of the history, as the man saw it, pointing up to A.E., and then describes A.E. and writes the book for the purpose of encouraging the people in his day to resist the persecution and to fight against A.E., but he does it imaging that the previous events were predicted. That's the difference betweeen the modernists and the conservatives on this interpretation. The difference is not as to what is says, but as to whether it was actual predictions or not. But in this 8ths chapter I would be much interested in your looking into any commentaries  $\dots 4\frac{1}{2}$ ..., but my guess is that rarely, if ever would you find a scholarly conservative commentary which would not say specifically that thes is A.E. who is here described in ch.8. And now ch. 8 here says, "A man of fierce countenance, and understanding dark sentences... " And by the way, the understanding dark sentences is an interesting thing. This man A.E. before he became king, when he didn't know he ever would become king, he was in Rome, he'd been a hostage in Rome first, and he left Rome and went to Athens, and there in Athens he became elected the highest elected official of Athens, Greece, Athens, the very center of human wisdom. That's before he backme king and called himself the manifest god. He whet there and claimed to be a great meson democrat, but actually a great demagogue, and got himself elected as the political ruler of Athens, and then he heard of a chance to become king of Assyria, and he left Athens and headed waxkwards east. But he would seem to beople to be a king of

28