

Beginning of new class period.....

Last week there were some of you who seemed a little bit skeptical about my statement that in Daniel 8 we had a prediction of A.E./ One of the most skeptical last time, I don't see present today. Now, if there is no one here who is skeptical of that point, we don't need to spend any more time on it now. This particular statement is a rather important one, and consequently it is important that we all of us be aware of just how definite the proof is on it and so I would just like ~~it~~ to ask that. How many are there who have a little feeling of doubt about this? There are several of you who still have several questions still in your mind, the larger ~~number~~ number thinking that maybe it is A.E., but also someone in addition. That is a question which we should perhaps take as a more general question? Does he sometimes think of two people at once? Well, there are many cases where ~~they~~ two people are thought of at once. But in such cases it usually ~~will~~ will be, there will be opponents, there will come kings, something like that stated in the plural form. When it is a singular form, does he make a prediction specifically of one individual, so it sounds, and actually have two individuals in mind who are quite apart in time? That would be a general question of method, which we will go into <sup>in</sup> the prophet's course quite at length in connection with certain other points, and that perhaps is one of the few points where the prophet's course would be helpful to have before this one. Because I don't like to repeat here things we will go into there. But that would be a general question. We don't go into Antiochus at all in prophets course, we ~~don't~~ go into ~~Daniel~~ Daniel very little, but that would be a general question of method. But now as to whether it means Antiochus at all. Some have had certain questions about certain of the phrases, whether they could very properly be applied to A.E. But, is it just a ~~picture~~ picture of ~~Antichrist~~ <sup>antichrist</sup> Antichrist? Is the little horn antichrist, not A.E. at all? Well we have noticed in the background here that he <sup>has</sup> ~~is~~ described a course of history leading up to a point at which A.E. would naturally come. He describes the Medo-Persian empire, and then the Greek empire, and Alexander the Great cut into four parts. Out of one of these parts comes a little horn.