

It comes out of the Greek empire, whatever it is, doesn't it? We all agree it is the Greek empire he is talking about, it is not the Roman empire, nor the Holy Roman Empire, the German Empire. It is not any empire, except the Greek empire. The Greek Empire is ~~that~~ what he is talking about, and what he says is that he comes out of one part of the Greek empire. He says that. And that is where A.E. came from. And A.E. certainly to some extent fits the description. He fits it is time, in general background, he seems to fit it here. Now, there are two things here I thought you would be interested in. The modernists say ~~that~~ the big burden of the book of Daniel is to discuss A.E. That is the big thing in the book. Well, it is very easy for someone to say, All right, the fundamentalists answer must be that nothing in it discusses him. That's a very easy thing to ~~say~~ say. Or someone can ~~say~~ say, The modernists say this. Well certainly they who make nothing of future prophecy say this. Well, now, if people make much of future prophecy, if they are people who deal with Daniel as a great book about the future, they will certainly deny A.E. as in the book. I mean, one could jump to that conclusion. And it would be worth investigating that a little bit. And so I have two sources with me here ~~one~~ which would be of interest in that connection. One of them is the Scofield Bible. Here is the SRB, the note on Daniel 8, note 1. v.9, "And out of one of them came forth a little horn which waxed exceeding great..." Now let us see what the SRB says about it. ~~The~~ How many have the note in front of you? "The 'little horn' here is a prophecy fulfilled in Antichus Ephiaphanes...He is not to be confounded with the little horn of Daniel 7 who is yet to come... But Antiochus is a remarkable type of the Beast, the terrible 'little horn' of the last days...." Thus you see that the SRB note is very ~~specific~~ specific Do you remember the one sentence I said up here, where he says specifically that ~~8:9-13~~ 8:9-13, and v.23 refer simply to A.E., and the little horn of Daniel 7 he says cannot be the little horn of Daniel 8:9-13 and 23 is evident. Now that's a strong position which the footnote here takes, that this is A.E. and no one else, tho he does say that in vv 24,25 of the same chapter, that those 2 vv look beyond A.E. But he says v.23, and 9-13 are specifically talking about A.E., and no one else. Now, another source which I brought here is