

held, I wouldn't say, by a postmillennialist, or an amillennialist. Probably wouldn't. It would almost certainly be held by a postmillennialist. It might or might not be held by an amil., but this second view is that the purpose of Daniel is to tell about A.E., and about the first coming of Christ. Now I have glanced over Young's introduction to his book. And in ~~this~~ introduction just glancing over it, I have found nothing that would suggest that ~~the~~ thought there was nothing important in the book, dealing with events after the first coming of Christ. I have not found anything of that type. I ~~have~~ found this statement here on ~~page~~ 21. Now it is contrary to the nature and genius of prophecy to reveal the future as detailed history, in all prophecy there is an element of obscurity, perhaps even of ambiguity. In all prophecy there is an element of obscurity, perhaps even of ambiguity. The Lord had distinctly said that ~~that~~ He would speak to the prophets in a manner less clear, etc.

Next paragraph: "When one considers the standpoint of the writer/^{of} Daniel the task which is his is to be expected in his writing, there should be an abundance of imagery and symbolism. The future of ~~the~~ people of God and its relation to the heathen nations was revealed to Daniel in a vision. The book of Dani~~el~~ is often called an apocalyptic writing..." But he doesn't say anything here about antichrist, about the 2nd coming of Christ, anything like that. I have noticed no reference to anything like that in the ~~an~~ introduction of the book. Now he does touch on ~~some~~ such matters in some places in his discussion later on. But not in his introduction, so that that is the view from which he takes it, and I would say that ~~a~~ a person who thinks that the book of Daniel is important as a source for an understanding of events connected with the end of this age, could hardly write ~~an~~ introduction like he has here. He would have to bring it in somewhere there, that it is a vital thing in the purpose of the book to give us information about events which are yet still future. I have noticed -- I ~~haen't~~ read it very carefully -- but I ~~have not~~ have noticed no such reference in the introduction. Well, now the third view is that the purpose... ~~now~~ all three views agree that the first purpose of the book is to ~~prepare~~ prepare the people for the persecution of A.E., there