

imagine that there was a long period of legs up to the ten toes. Whereas in this there is nothing to suggest anything but right from the image come the ten kings. And this point here would be very difficult to think of this 4th kingdom as lasting 2000 years, but if you thought of a gap, that would be even more helpful, much more helpful in this than the second, because you would think of the kingdom here, and then there is a gap, and you look forward to a time when there are ten kings, which in some way could be thought of as coming out of this kingdom, even though there is a big period in between when the period wasn't even in existence. Mr. Mezner?..... It is not so much a matter of time, tho that enters in to the general impression, but it is not so much a matter of time, as it is a matter of content, of what happened. It would be mighty hard to say the Roman empire was in existence in 1000 A.D., or 700 A.D., or 600 A.D. Certainly today there is nothing at all like the R.E. anywhere. Well, now, if you think of a situation today which you think is ten kings which have come out of the R.E., how can you say that they have come out of the R.E. today, than that they have come out of the Greek empire, or the Babylonian empire? What I mean is, there have been so many changes in between, so many different empires, so many different things that would appear historically just as important as the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd of those. So that it is the changes, the amount of change. I think the length is a factor, the four don't seem to be at all equivalent, one is so much larger than the others, but more than ~~that~~ that perhaps is the, far more than that is the changes. Mr. Asay?..... AAM: If you could trace the ten kingdoms that came into existence in 400 A.D. and have been the same every since, but you can't/you can't. You have had changes back and forth, and up and down, and in and out in so many different ways. There are no ten kingdoms today that you can trace back to the R.E. Yes?....AAM: Yes, the ~~xxx~~ eastern lasted till 1453, the eastern section, so-called Byzantine. Well, you see the problem there. Now, there are many details that ~~xx~~ would be of great ~~xxxx~~ interest to see what we can get out of them. But the big thing we want to get first is the decision as between these three views, and I don't want you to jump to any conclusion as to a decision. I am not so interested in what the decision is as to what the