

order based on a logical presentation. And another time you give them in an order based ~~x~~ on a chronological arrangement, or you could give them twice based on two logical orders. If you don't have a specific time word mentioned, you have no right to consider that there is necessarily a time element given. Now it is ~~ix~~ the natural thing to go from an earlier time to a later time, but whether there is a jump in between or not, is something which if it said, immediately this happened, that's something different. If it says, on the next day this happened..., but if it doesn't say, Why doesn't he talk about this fourth king here? Because he is showing that the fourth king made the great attack against Greece, but there is going to be a great Greek king that comes and destroys Persia. Now, that great Greek king might have risen the next ~~xxxx~~ year. Or it might have been ten years later. Or it might have been a hundred years later, or it might even be a hundred thousand years later. It doesn't say. It simply says, well, you look and you see that this is going to happen. You see this British army. You see this European army that comes over here, and conquers great parts of America. Somebody could say, back in ~~1691x~~ 1600. Yes, but from America, an army is ~~going~~ going to come over and conquer most of Europe. He looks forward to 1900, 1940. He looks forward, he compares two things. He doesn't say, they happen next to each other. He simply compares to things, without stating how soon they happen. Well, here is a movement of Persia to conquer Greece which fails. A movement from Greece conquers Persia and succeeds. And so it does not tell us whether between two and three it comes immediately or not, and a mighty king shall stand up. Well, now, of course, many of the critics will say, This must be wrong. He says there were only four kings of Persia. Actually we know there were a lot more kings than that. He says, after the fourth king, then comes Alexander the Great. We know there were many in between. And so Daniel is wrong. But Daniel doesn't say he tells us about all the kings. He picks out certain ones. You could say, I want to tell you about American history. You could tell somebody in Europe who doesn't know much about American history, or you could even tell a little child here. You could say, We have had great presidents in America. There was George Washington. He couldn't tell a lie. Then there was Franklin