

and point toward A.E. And most interpreters, liberal or conservative, practically all, will agree then that this is a period which is pointing to A.E. Your conservatives will say, Daniel ~~xxxxxxx~~ pointed to look ~~for~~ forward to the coming of A.E., and prepared the people for it, and the liberal will say, the unknown writer at that time, to encourage the people then, wrote an imaginary statement, supposed to have been written centuries before, but is ~~xxx~~ pointing forward to A.E., so there is no question of exegesis aside from thms matter of what Keil has said, but from all other s that I have, there is not question of the basic meaning that chapter 11 leads up to A.E. Now, I hope that you ~~xxxx~~ want beyond that a little into A.E., and saw how precisely it describes the background of A.E., the sort of man he was, how he became king, and some of the outstanding events of his reign....end of D 19.

D 20

....for the first few verses about A.E., you would have no difficulty. Your interpreters would all agree, that this fits A.E. And your liberal would say, fo course it fits. The man wrote it while he was living, and he describes what was going on. And the conservative says it fits him because God permitted Daniel to see it. But then you come to a point where it no longer seems to fit A.E., and then you have a problem. What is this? And there you have two kinds of statements. You have the statements of what is going to happen to A.E., and then you have she statements of what kind of man ~~Ex~~ A.E. was, and when you get to that kind of statement, your liberal will say, What it says about the kind of man he was is true. Well, you say, Yes, but we don't find any evidence of that. Well, they say, O, yes, it must be, A.E. they are talking about. It must be. They are very sure that all this description of the kind of man he is are descriptive of A.E., and when it comes to telling what is going to happen tohim, they will way, well, there he is completely off, because naturally this writer didn't know what was going to happen to him, and he made a guess about the future, and the guess wasn't so good. Professor Almstead of the U. of Chicago told me, I think I mentioned it to you, he was studying the history of the Helænstic period, and he said it was very very helpful to take up these various apocryphal books, many different books which