

AAM: Well, now that is what you would expect. That it would be another individual. You would think what are the principal interpretations. He lists 5 individuals, then he lists 2 generalized ones, the Roman empire which might be almost any one of these individuals, and the pope and the papal system, two which are general, but each of them is telling you what these verses refer to. Now here is what he gives as number 5. The dispensationalist interpretation. Now I mean, if he were to say, the premil, the postmil, the amil, something like that that would be a consistent classification, wouldn't it. Or to say, the interpretation is A.E., Constantine, Titus, etc., that's a consistent ~~xxx~~ method of classification. But for me to say, Who is going to be the next president of the U.S.? Will it be Eisenhower, will it be Stevenson, will it be McCarthy? Will it be the Jewish view? Will it be the Premil view? It is not consistent at all, you see. It is not a consistent system of classification. Now what does he give as number 5 for the dispensationalist interpretation? Number 8 he gave as the antichrist. "This may be called the traditional interpretation in the Christian church." But what is number 5? He divided it into two parts, a. and b. Why not have them different interpretations. small a, the king, v.36 is the little horn of Daniel 7, who is an apostate, not from Judaism, but from Christianity. He establishes his palace in Jerusalem, from which time runs the great tribulation, and last 3½ years of Daniel's 70th week (SRB)." Why not just say the Antichrist? Why make the antichrist 8, the traditional view of the Christian church, and make this 5a, "the little horn of Daniel 7 who is an apostate, not from Judaism, but from Christianity, establishes his palace in Jerusalem, from which time runs the great tribulation, that will last 3½ years of Daniel 7" Now you may have various views as to what the antichrist does, or when the antichrist comes, or where he comes from, but it would seem to me that one interpretation would be that he is the antichrist. And then you would have subheads under that, rather than have 8 be the antichrist, and 5 the dispensational interpretation, and of that, a. is what I just read to you. Now, what is b? b."He is the antichrist, not to be identified, either with the little horn of chapter 7, or with that of chapter 8. This willful king will be a Jew who in the midst of the Jewish people will assume kingly honors, being recognized