postmils won't. Very very few of them do. The Scripture says nobody can set a date. But some people think that premillennialsim means a date. Some people will think a premil is one who knows that Russia and Germany have got to get together, and that wax when the non-agression pact was signed, that wax meant that Christ was coming very soon, back in 1939. Now, it could have happened. But we are fery foolish to be dogmatic on it, because we don't know. Jesus says, In such an hour as ye think not, the son of man comes, and therefore, we have no right to say, He has got to come today, or tomorrow, or the ten years, or a hundred years from now. We don't know EMMXWEMM when He's coming. And that's the view the Lord wants us to take. It might be today, it might be tomorrow, we don't know. We have no right in the world to say it won't be today. But we have certainly no right to say it will be. That's the clear Scriptural teaching. Add so, a man is not a well-infomred premil who sets a date. A premil simply means, belief in this specific thing that the return of Christ is followed by a period of righteousness and peace upon this earth, a period in which the Lord reigns in personal presence, in which the curse is removed, and with the judgment of the wicked coming at the end of that period. That is all hhat is involved in premil'sm per se, that is its specific view. Now postmil'sm is a specific view. And amil'sm is a specific denial, that's definite. And so, with many men you can't tell whether they are amil or post, because they write of their denial strictly, and don't go into any positive statements in which you can tell the difference between the two, because you can tell definitely they are not premils. But now, what does dispensationalism mean? What does the term? I know people who say, Oh, those people are terrible. They are dispensational. I've got no use for them. And I know other people who say. That's man is no good. He is not a dispensational. I've got no use for him. Dispensationalism is either a term that proves a man isn't good for something, or that proves he is good for nothing. But actually, what is dispen'sm? There is no place I know that you can get a definition of it that any great number of people will agree on. And personally, I think it is wan one of the worst. We would be much better off, if we could leave out altogether. Some years ago I was teaching on the facutly of x