

coming of Christ is different from the dispensation since the coming of Christ. We all believe it. If we did not believe it certainly would be our duty to ....8.... every one of us. It certainly would be our duty to teach the full Mosaic law. ~~There~~ There is a dispensational difference. ~~There~~ must be a dispensational difference. Anybody who says there isn't is taking a view which cannot possibly be taken with the Scripture. And so to ~~say~~ say a dispensationalist is one who believes there are divisions in a divine economy, and ~~difference~~ difference in His dealing with people means that all Christians are then dispensational. Well, now, there are people who say a dispensationalist is one who believes that people are saved through the death of Christ at some periods, and at other periods in different ways. And a non-dispensationalist is one who believes that all men at all times who ever has or ever will be saved, are saved by virtue of the death of Christ. Now, if somebody ~~gives~~ gives that for a definition, then there is no Christian who ever has been a dispensationalist, and anybody then who is dispensational in that sense is not a Christian. Because the teaching of the Bible is absolutely clear and explicit that nobody ever has been saved, or ever will be saved in any way except through the death of Christ, and through the things that God gives to him, and every Christian who studies the Bible at all will express his agreement with that, and if a man denies that, he is not a Christian. Now, you can take some ~~mix~~ writings and you can say, Look at what this man says here. Look at that. Now, you ~~take~~ take that and you carry it on logically, and it means that people have been saved in a different way, not through the death of Christ. ....10.....carry it on to that point. Somewhere else in the book he says explicitly all men who ever have been saved, and all men who ever will be saved are saved through the death of Christ, only through faith in Christ can anybody ever be saved. He will say that, but ....10..... And this is one place in the book and we find <sup>30</sup> ~~thirty~~ <sup>thirty</sup> places in the book where ~~he~~ <sup>we</sup> can logically go on and say that, and say yes, but he ~~doesn't~~ doesn't go on and say that. He doesn't believe that. Maybe he is illogical in his thinking. There is only one ~~one~~ person who every lived who isn't illogical in his thinking, there isn't one person who ever lives who isn't. We are all illogical in our thinking.