have the idea that nobdoy ever knew about the grace of God till after His coming, but you can't read the O. T. ***Exemple** through from any point without finding it full of types and illustrations, pointing forward to Christ, and showing only by faith in what God is going to do can one be saved. There is no **Exemple** there way. That's very very clear in the O.T.end of D 38

....and no necessary connection with premil'sm whatever. It is a different question altogether. Is Jesus going to come back and set up a millennial kingdom? Were people saved in the O.T. through works instead of through faith? What connection have they got with each other? They are two entirely distinct questions. There is no reason that they should be held together. Any other combination would be just as reasonable as to hold these two together. They don't necessary follow that there is any connection. Unless you say, Well, there is a difference between the O.T. time and M now, so there is going to be a difference later. If there was no difference then, # it would be silly to say there is a millennium. Now, that's a foolish argument. There could be a difference at any time, if the Lord chooses, or at no time. There is no difference between the O.T. now as far as the fact of salvation by faith in Christ is concerened, nor will there by any difference between now and the millennium in this regard. There is a difference between the O.T. No and now as far as the dispensation, the means of God's mx bringing us into the knowledge of Christ, the external ordinances of his kingdom, and there will be a difference between now and the millennium if there is a millennium. But there is no necessary reason they should come together. Now, you will find writiers who hold a strong premil view, who will repudiate the views which are often spoken of as dispensational views. You will find them. You will find many who will hold the premil view, and who will make statements as if they believed that people we fre saved.... $2\frac{1}{2}$, but they don't really believe that. Other xxx statements show very definitely. The principle differs between those that are called dispensationslists, and those that aren't, is that those they call dispensationalists usually think into they know more deatil about these things, and actually, you see, that has nothing to do with the word dispensationalism at all. It is interesting that ten years ago