book of Daniel where there was a note, and in the middle of the note they take four words, and they have to hunt through the book to find four words in the middle of one of the notes in the book of Daniel, and they took these words and fastened on to them an interpretation which these four words alone wouldn't have at all, and which would contradict nearly everything else in the book. They said, now this is a dispensationalism which goes beyond the dispensationalism of the SRB. Therefore, this book is a rank dispensationalist book. Therefore Dr. MacRae is not a Presbyterian, therefore, FTS is not a Presbyterian institution. That was their argument. Well, now, that is typical of the attitudw which is very very easy for people to get. But it is not the attitude that our Lord wants us to have for anyone. He wants us to take the book of Daniel and study it and try to see what it says. And I want to Look with you at ch. 2, and 7, and 9, and 11, and say, Do they fit with the gritics, the A.E. view, do they fit with the view that the first coming of Christ is the vital thing in it? Which is substantially what most amils have to think about in order to fit Daniel into their scheme at all?. Do they fit with the view that the second coming of Christ is what is largely in view in Daniel, which would fit, we will see whether that does not fit with the premil interpretation. Well, I thought we would get alot further this morning, but I think these matters of definition are very vital and worth taking time to go into. And we will continue there this afternoon then....

.....Neell, then this morning we **xaid** spoke a little bit about what we mean by premil'sm, amil'sm, and postmil'sm, and I spoke of the impossibility of knowing what anybody means when they say dispensationalism, because the word is used in so many different senses, and many of them quite unrelated to each other. Now, we get back into Daniel, 'nad there, no, let's not go to Daniel now, let's go to II Corinthians. Have I mentioned II Corinthians in this class before? I haven't? Well, no I **MENNEX** mean I Corinthians. Well, I want to look at a passage there to see if I can learn anything from **a**t about eschatology. And let us now wimply look at one or two main things that I want to see in the passage, and then you can look these up in commentaries on I Cor., and any further ideas regarding them later on, to whatever extent you may think waiss wise. I

89