11/19/62 cont'd Side No. 1

is quite	avidaentky inevitably h	is	It is not his
		I wanted	in respect to what
	at God is the man one who h	as brought all this to p	ass. As the result of it
either h	e is prospering ax in r	egard to	or that he is
able, an	d able to act	So that as	far as this particular
verse is	concerned, the between	the two possible uses of	the hiphil here it
does 'nt	affect the sense. The	great stress in the whol	e verse on the Divine
causatio	n makes the thought of	this particular verse cl	ear. Now it would be
interest	ing to know whether the	use of the word does aff	ect, but it
does not	affect the total meaning	ng of this verse. Now th	is verse might have been
in fact	tremendously important	in interpretation of this	verse. In this case
I have n	ot looked it up. I pro	bably ought to, but I hav	e not felt it necessary
to, beca	use the whole verse mak	tes it/clear/ that whiche	ver way you take
the mean	the meaming of the verse is actually Mr. Mackey, do you have suggestion?		
any furt		So/ that, does that answ	er your question?
	think there is any thing the	g in this verse that abou	t the particular
		the person who is involv	red. The Divine sorreignty
is here	stressed. The fact that	God has exercised the th	ought specifically in
connecti	on with this one. Now t	this could be a prediction	n that God is going to
cause th	at the servant of the L	rd does accomplish his w	ork. Godhas established
it, He h	as spoken it, He called	it, Hebrought him in, H	le is going to accomplish it.
The vers	e could describe the ser	rvant of the Lord that wa	y, and the fact that
the next	passage #is right on to	alking about the servant	of the Lord, or probably
the serv	ant of the Lord talking	would suggest that a str	ong possibility. On
the other	r hand the verse before	is so clearly talking ab	out the ford's destruction
of the E	takin Babylonians, and the	nis has been so clearly br	rought out in the several
pregious	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	being done t	through the ages of gills