again

O, Well, it would seem to me that, you might say, there are two approaches to the \*\*\* Scriptures. We come to a verse to see what we can prove from this verse, and now if you can prove that/the verse has two possible meanings you can/prove either one from it. That is true. But a thing might have two possible meanings. and they might both be entering into the thought. I would be it inclined to think that maidematky if it has two, it doesn't have any. I mean if/it proves something, it proves one thing or the other. I would be inclined to think that probably in the light of the background that the emphasis is on "God is the causative factor behind Cyrus," "and God is going to deliver them from Babylon, and Cyrus is the agent, and it is God who harden has done it. The And that is what it says, and thatxis/then I would be inclined to think that in the context, not that we say here the physical meaning, now, here is spiritual meaning we also get from I that. I think that's very dangerous. But I would be inclined to think in this way. Here is a phase physical meaning, and here is a situation. What is the meaning of the situation? The meaning of the situation is that Godin in His movement sovereign power has brought Cyrus in order to deliver Israel. Now you have/been already told clearly in the previous chapters that the reason he is going to deliver Israel is in order to get the work of the servant of the Lord accomplished. That God's full purpose, God's original calling this, God's original promises are all presented purpose, and therefore your statement that God is bringing Cyrus to do, God is causing to bring Cyrus who is going to deliver them from them. Immediately suggest/to the mind the other part already expressed(?) in the previous context that God in doing this is doing a part of/greater purpose of bringing Israel in order that the servant of the Lord's work may be accomplished. And if so, that makes a very natural bridge to the continuation and where the servant actually to describe His work which The servants talks how he accomplishes his work in the next verse. In the previous verse we hear what's going to happen as the result of Cyrus. So the two are right there. And it seems to me very possible that there is a bridge of thought