

making sackcloth their covering. It is either a specific prediction of something particular event or is a figurative presentation of something that he does now, or will do, ~~xxxx~~ or anything of the kind. You are left with verse three by itself with nothing of the context to tell you what to do with it. But you should take two and three as a continuous reading of the _____ and is too long for ~~one~~ ^{it} verse, divided into two and in some sensible way, instead of what they did. Why, we don't know who made the verse division, and so I am not criticizing any known individual when I see it. They were made very early, but we don't know who made it. I guess the New Testament/^{verse}division was made twice later. The Old Testament verse division was made very early, long before the chapter, but here they certainly made a bad one like they did at some places in the psalm. Suppose you divide in a different way. Uh, Wherefore, when I came ~~therexwasxxxxxxx~~ was there no man? when I called, was there none to answer? Is my hand shortened at all, that it cannot redeem? or have I no power to deliver? Here you have a rhetorical question up to this point. This is surely an ~~indefinite~~ question. Is God helpless? Can't he do anything? Then you have the answer, you want to make that another verse. God's tremendous power. Behold, at my rebuke I dry^p up the sea, I make the rivers a wilderness: so their fish stinketh, because there is no water, and dieth for thirst. I clothe the heavens with blackness, and I make sackcloth their covering." It is simply a general theme of God's tremendous power over nature that He can build up, and He can ~~can~~ destroy as He chooses. So it would have been a sensible verse division there, you would not have had a verse that hangs along, stands alone with no reasonable interpretation. You see a part of the argument on to verse two through verse three here, again my Bible has here _____ paragraph division beginning with verse five. Why should this be in the beginning of verse five? Why not at the beginning of verse four? Between ^sverse/three and four, you have a sharp difference. Between verses four and five you have a very close similarity. No reason for the verse division. I think that probably we should start a new section which starts with