correctly, something like this, as many were astonished at him; his visage was so marred far more than anyxmansons of men, and then on the haxaak(12.75) they have a footnote, and the foot-note says, the Hebrew thee, waskxisxthe well, in other words, the panters/df/the/ men that translated the RSV what they say is him. Well, Hebrew says thee. What is the word of God? Is the word of God the/n the men on RSE committee or is it Hebrew? Which is what you should have in your text of the Bible? What the/men think or what the Word of God says? /The word of God says, thee What's the sense of that? We were astounded at thee; his visage was wo marred. Why thee and his? Why can't both be thee or both him? Well, many commentators don't see any sense of it. But that's what the Hebrew says. There is no question about it. It is not a question and change, a slight, little change in Hebrew it's avery definite difference in of TY Two letters are never confused in Hebrew. Hebrew says thee. letter. As many were astounded at thee; his visage was so marred. Now Hebrew does not say that his visage was so marred, so it says so marred was his visage. The world order of word/has been changed around in King James, and I think it brings out the sense much more clearly, if you keep the order of word in the Hebrew. As many were astounded at thee; so marred was his visage. away from the (11.00) that of a man, and his form more /than/the/sons ofmen. Just as Israel didn't seem to be a nation any more, divided, driven out into exile, scattered among the people of Babylonian, no longer appearing to be a nation. So is this one is going to be distribled, and ill-treated, and put to a humiliating death, He is so marred that he hardly seems to be a man any more than they do to be a nation. A parallel: Israel has been suffing, yet why? For _____ (10.50) The servant of the Lord is also suffering. There is a paralabl. Israel has gone into exile. The servant of the Lord is also ______(10.30) Israel

(12.00)