correctly, something like this, as many were astonished at him; his visage was so marred far more than axyoxamansons of men, and then on the $\qquad$ hexamex (12.75) they have 2 footnote, and the foot-note says, the Hebrew thee, minadxisx㧧e well, in other words, the $p \neq p / \phi \phi \phi \phi / \phi f / \operatorname{tin} \phi /$ men that translated the RSV what they say is him. Well, Hebrew says thee. What is the word of God? Is the word of God $x$ hh/h the men on RSE committee or is it Hebrew? Which is what you should some have in your text of the Bible? What $\not t h / /$ men think or what the Word of God For As many says? /The word of God says, thee What's the sense of that? We were astounded at thee; his visage was ho marred. Why thee and his? Why can't both be thee or both him? Well, many commentators don't see any sense of it. But that's what the Hebrew says. There is no question about it. It is not a quaskionuxat change, a slight, little change in Hebrew it's avery definite difference in of letter. th $T_{\text {wo }}$ letters are never confused in Hebrew. Hebrew says/f thee. As many were astounded at thee; his visage was so marred. Now Hebrew does not say that $h^{\text {is }}$ visage was so marred, $\phi \phi$ it says so marred was his visage. The the it brings out the sense much more clearly, if you keep the order of word in the Hebrew. As many were astounded at thee; so marred was his visage. away from the
 Just as Israel didn't seem to be a nation any more, divided, driven out into exile, scattered among the people of Babylonian, no longer appearing to couraged be a nation. So is this one $\not \ddagger \phi$ going to be diskur $\phi \phi \not \subset \bar{d}$, and ill-treated, and put to a humiliating death, He is so marred that he hardlys eems to be 2 man any more than they do to be a nation. A parallel: Israel has been ffer surfing, yet why? For (10.50) The servant of the Lord is also suffining. There is a paralad. Israel has gone into exile. The servant of the LPrd is also
(10.30) Israel

