

52614

who knew ~~think~~ nothing about Him, but who were present and saw Him suffer, and were shocked at the terrible treatment of the \_\_\_\_\_ (8.50) So that

the many is not all, It's not an universal observation, nor is it an observation limited to a small group, it's not just to the apostles for sure. It's not. It is a heterogenous group in each case that was shocked ~~and~~ at seeing the \_\_\_\_\_ '8.25) in one case a nation, and in the other case is an individual.

And  
-- a question rased --- It is a very interesting suggestion made / It would seem to me entirely appropriate in preaching on the package(?) to bring out that interesting comparison, but whether we can say that it's actually the universe I would question. The verse simply speaks of being astounded at the terrible suffering, the terrible marring, humiliation, and I doubt if we are justified in drawing from the verse anything about the observer having in mind

the relation of Israel to God, or the relation of Christ to God. That is neither that particular matter, while it is brought out very clearly in the previous discussion of the servant, of course, is ~~the~~ <sup>his</sup> relation to God, and

in many passages the relation of Israel to God is clearly brought out. I don't ~~think~~ <sup>see anything</sup> in this context to lead us to say that it actually referred to

him. <sup>But</sup> /I think that it draw <sup>s</sup> /out of it, it goes out of it, it's sufficiently closely related to it, and it would be entirely ~~invalid~~ <sup>thinking</sup> /to point out. It's interesting

\_\_\_\_\_ (6.45). That certainly is a ~~type~~ <sup>true</sup> element of the last part of the verse 15, but whether that /fourteen I am inclined to question that

we can say it is this, but I think this is closely related to what's there ~~but I think this is~~ that we are altogether justified in adding it as a further interesting thought in that connection. It's a true thought, and

the further interesting thought well-worthy mentioning /that/ But to say that one should bring it out of that passage, That I would question. Mr. Brooks.

<sup>a very good point</sup> Further, /you have brought out / further ~~good point~~ that there were many crucifixions, but I would imagine that any man, ~~of~~ any kindly hearted man

would be shocked at the crucifixion, but it is true that he would ~~be~~ <sup>much</sup> be more shocked