No. 18 Cont'd
That's my impression. Now in English we don't do that. But they do in a good many other languages, In English I think we are/apt to use one, but we don't would
marex use one much, one $\not \not \neq$ get tired of. We have to say you. You would. have never thought. That's quite $\not \nexists$ diatinctively $E_{n g}$ lishly. You would have never thought of. spociduraxassxsagy In German, they $\phi d y /$
(12. 80 ) But I find it to be before him, whoever is looking at him

I am inclined to think that before him, whoever is looking at him. This is the it impresses me/ as the observer. Now I never thought of that wi thimd certainly the last five minutee. The new suggestion $\qquad$ (12.50) /I don't about this
it fits menat to be dogmatic; but I do incline(?) to think that/the context much better. I never though/about that// EPPP specifically. I have taken for granted that it is before the Iord. And of course there are many cases in the Byble which goes_(12.25) before the Iord. stresses on the Lord's power, on the Lord's regard, and so on. But that/k thought while it's the background of the whole chapter, it's not specifically thought of in $\nless h \phi \phi \phi / /$ this couple of verses. The whole sentences of the verse would be that/one who looked at him does not see him fulfilling their natural expectations will of what arrandfgement they/have. 6 . 6666666666 That being the case,
$\qquad$ - That's what it secorersook
(11.80).

It should be interesting to look into commentaries to see which $\qquad$ give particularly idda. My guess is that you will find, and most of them did'nt. My guess is that $y \phi \not \subset$ if you want to wait, you $f t y$ will find somethithg// new new ever idea. Most of $t h^{\prime} \notin$ ideas I/get is what somebody has found already. The, and, uh, here we have in general then his humiliation, but it is more of less in general, bft and get more specific in verse three. He is despised and rejected of men; a man of sorrows, and acqauinted with grief: and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was despieed, and we esteemed him not. That does not seem to fit.
impression
The usual $\phi \times \not \subset \eta \nmid \phi \phi \phi \neq \nmid \phi \dot{q} /$ we have of the ministry of Jesus Where you read,

