No. 18 Contid

(11.00) The disciples saying, if wax we will leave
you to whom will we go! to? You have an in impression int the gospels of
the great deal of tracts in the message, personality, and so on. (11.60) would have
Yes? Oh, here, Whe is talking now? The same one who says who with believed what was not
we have heard? We esteemed him not. This 1/8/what we expected. Me This is
quite general. ja, its a quite general thing statement. We,,, it is observed.
A group of observed/ We esteemed him not. This was not what we expected.
We said, can any good thing come out of Nazareth? We said, this can't be.
This can't be possible. This can't be. Yes? We, yes, we is already introduced.
ja, he goes out before. I would thik nk that perhaps the English expression,
we might say, Who has believed what we have heard? To whom is the arm of the
Lord revealed? For he shall grow up before him as a tender plant, and as a
root out of axaomakinass, a dry ground: he hath no form nor comeliness; there is no
beauty that we should desire him. You see(9.75)
not us in the sense of we specific people, but us in the sense of a the
general observer. You can say before one. It happens before one. One would say
this. We would say this. I had recently I have gone over a manuscript made
from the talk I gave, and they had taken down and I went over to revise it,
and I found that it started in, they said, when I was a go boy, when I was a that adays
boy, you didn't hear me! the word very often. Now/ we hear it all the time.
I hadn't even thought of like that. To take it but
literally and and they said, when you read it with a cold conscience, (?)
when I was a boy, you did'nt hear that word often. Now we hear it all the the
time. Who is/they? Who is the you? It's exactly the same thin thing.
So, I change it, and make it identical. I got them written, and it's better
to have a little more uniformity. But the general expression is that to be all
in an/o/1/2 languages, it has to be expressed by a passive form, or be expressed