

No. 21 Cont\*d

~~His grave~~

53:9

His grave, he says, his tomb. And but the only thing is that it does ~~not~~ have a shewa instead of a comma under the Beth, but the wicked ones is definitely plural, and you think perhaps of the individuals involved in it. Very often it is in singular, when it's collective where you think of a ~~man~~ as one. You think of them together. But the plural ~~is~~ has to be individuals concerned. Here of course, he made his way toward Israel by being come between the two thieves who~~t~~ were with the rich man, uh, who were wicked men then. Mr. Brooks? I don't know. The language is quite abbreviate, and of course you know what happens. But he made his grave with a rich man. \_\_\_\_\_ (4.99) a little bit of/jump<sup>a</sup> which I think the rich man provided. It seems to me that it is the type of thing a rich man would rather have, which under a normal circumstance ~~would~~ would have to be provided by a rich man.

Other-wise it wouldn't be available. But I doubt if ~~c~~ \_\_\_\_\_ (4.70) .

Mr. ~~Maekey~~? ~~He's~~ Yes, it is a good idea. Instead of being ~~a~~ just thrown into a cave or something where a lot ~~of~~ of graves or a lot of people a sort of indiscriminately put together, There is no way of proving whether the body is still there or not that he is given a special attention/<sup>that was given to</sup>to the well-to-do people who \_\_\_\_\_ (3.60) inside of a cliff, and inside of that they put a little \_\_\_\_\_ (3.50)

\_\_\_\_\_ they lift up a body and they would leave it there. And then there is spices, and all that which makes ~~yet~~ it very easy to prove that the body is ~~already~~ there ~~it~~ or not there, as a preparation for the great truth of the resurrection, ~~that~~ ~~the~~ fact that the body has disappeared. If the body has disappeared, it would ~~not~~ have been discriminated with the mass of body..... It would be pretty hard to prove whether the body was there or <sup>wasn't</sup> ~~not~~ there.

2.40

It would normally have been ... yes, yes, that would be what we've expected.

No, the rich man could be a wicked man or a rich man could be a good man. It could be either, so that they are not parallels. They are not parallels. Ordinary parallelism, you would say, that he was ~~is~~ numbered with transgressors,