

No 26 contd.

AND her to intercede is to cause intercession to occur--the interceder(?).

And He will intercede for the transgressors is what it says. Why water down what it says, when there is a clear, definite teaching. Why water it down to something that is already said a dozen ~~th~~ times. Now, it may be that what's been said a dozen times is just repeated. That's all in the possibility,

but here a careful examination of a passage shows that a new idea is being given, something is ~~is~~ being added. Why water it down and have just the same thing ~~wh~~ we've said a dozen times before. We've been stressing sub-

stitutionary atonement, paying for our guilt, we've stressed over and over and over, but now we have something different. I always use ^d to think, "What a

lame ending for this passage. ^{If} [^] "The passage ended," and He died for us, and we are saved through Him." What a strong ending. Now listen to ~~sh~~ this

strong ending. "Therefore, I will divide Him a portion with the great, and He shall divide the spoil with the strong, because He poured out His soul unto

death, and He's been numbered with the transgressors, and He's borne the sin of many." Isn't that a strong ending? We end with atonement; we end

with justification; we end with His death on the cross for our sins; we end with He bare the sin of many. "And He made intercession for the transgressors."

What a weak ending, taking a small element of what He did and of fizzling out

at the end. Well, it doesn't make sense to end with that. If you were to start and say, "Because ~~d~~ He has made intercession with the transgressors

and poured out His soul unto death, and then numbered with the transgressors and bore the sin of many," that might make a fair sense. But to end with

this as a climax, that He had made intercession with the transgressors--well

if He could ~~make~~ intercession for the transgressors and they be saved, why

bother with giving His life on the cross. Why go through all ~~at~~ that--if just to