

have to mention it, it's obvious to the people there. And of course, as he pointed out, the chapter starts with the curse on the drunkards of Ephraim, and then these also are drunkards. Well, it's a very tactful way to start, to keep from getting thrown out. But then you have these and they're described in v.7 and now v.8, for all tables ~~are~~ full of vomit and filthiness, there is no place clean, <sup>well</sup> ~~but~~ if they're ~~not~~ right in front of them it makes it very vivid. And then you go on and: ~~Whom~~ shall he teach knowledge: and ~~whom~~ shall he made to understand doctrine, what does that mean? Is Isaiah talking --what is he talking about? But if that is the nobles in front of him getting irritated about the, his temperance talk that he had just given them, this sort of thing, they said, who is he going to teach now, if you want to treat us like little babies, those that are weaned from the milk and drawn from the breast, with precept on precept, line on line, here a little, there a little, and you have down in v.13, but the word of the Lord was unto them precept on precept, and v.12, ~~wh~~ but with stammering lips and another tongue will speak to this people, fits perfectly with what precedes as meaning, you say, we're just giving you simple baby talk, why don't you get into some profound discussion of international relations instead of this baby talk about temperance and following God and that sort of thing? Well, he says, God is going to talk to you with stammering lips and another tongue, soon. It will sound to you like stammering, to understand what they're saying. You to whom he said this is the rest wherewith ye may cause the weary to rest, this (3 1/2) yet they would not hear, but the word of the Lord is this. And then in 14 he seems to address them directly, wherefore hear the word of the Lord, ye scornful men, that rule this people, which is at Jerusalem. He is speaking directly to the leaders of the people, not to Ahaz as he was in chapter 7. Because you've said, we have made a covenant with death, and with hell are we at agreement, well, now if that is referring to the arrangement <sup>which</sup> ~~with~~ Ahaz and the nobles made with Tiglath-pileser, it makes perfect sense. Otherwise, well it does not refer to anything particularly, we know. Unless that/s (4 1/4) is <sup>what if</sup>