The Second Exile of Athanasias. Now Athanasias comes back and is received back with welcome and joy in Alexandria and there in Alexandria begins to lead in every way again but the bishops who had 15 voted that he be deposed from being bishop; now declare that Athanasias had come back because of a permission of the secular power and the secular power had no right to reinstate a bishop who had been deposed by the other bishops. Therefore they said that Athanasias should be removed from being bishop. They also charged him with selling for his own benefit the corn provided for the other bishops said that he acted harshly and uncharitably in various ways and they dispatched these accusations to the emperors and in 340 the local governmental official compelled Athanasias to leave Alexandria the second time. Now Gregary, a native of Capadotia is forcibly installed as Eishop in his place. For seven years Athanasias was compelled to stay away from Alexandria, his second eitle. He had five exiles in all but this is his second and he went to Rome. Now the Eastern bishops wrote to the Fishop in Rome (Marcellus also had gone to Rome) and Julius the Bishop of Rome wrote a latter to the bishops in which he declared that no sentence would be pronounced against the Bishop of Alexandria without the concurrence of the bishop of Rome. Therefore they had no washed right to put him out and he said that in addition that he had investigated and that Athanasias was entirely orthodox; there was no evidence of the charges against him and they wrote back and said that Julius had no right to receive Athanasias into communion as they had ordered him deposed. But Julius wrote back and said that he had done nothing until the most careful investigations and furthermore he said they had accepted Gregory as bishop and this was utterly illegal*. Because he said a bishop most always be a man from that very diocese. He should be a man from that church who has come up in that church and who has been serving that church. The Fishop of Rome then said it is utterly illegal to have a man from Capadotia as Rishop of \$335 Apexandria. Now it is very interesting that the infallible bishop of Rome known as the Pope of Rome, say ing it was utterly illegal to appoint a man from a distant place as Bishop. That it has to be a man who comes up through the church there, one