

whether Liberius had actually signed an Arianizing creed. If he did, he did not do it because he believed it. There is no question of that. His stand is definitely for the Nicene view but the evidence is pretty strong that in order to get back he gave in and signed something which he did not believe. ~~and~~ Constantius permitted him to go back to Rome and writers of the time said he did sign such a statement. But when Constantius sent him back Constantius said "now Felix has been bishop of Rome - you two will jointly administer to the Church at Rome together". Well he got back to Rome and the order was given and there was a great riot and the Roman Christians are said to have cried out "One God, One Christ, One Bishop", and they drove Felix out of the city. So Liberius ruled from then on. Felix died within a couple of years. There is a St. Felix in the Roman Catholic calendar whose ~~name~~ many say is this man Felix but others say "no, that is the man who died in Diocletian's persecution 50 years before". So it is hard to prove. But at any rate the fact of whether Liberius gave his signature to something that he knew ~~was~~ ~~was~~ to be wrong to the ~~the~~ scriptures is a matter which was greatly discussed in the early days among those who defended and those who opposed -----1