and reproduce them critically - I can quote it but cannot use it against him it doesn't stand as legal evidence. It is simply that I heard him say this. It was to the effect that he had a very friendly feeling towards me. He had always had high respect for my mind in his class but was greatly disappointed when we came to the end of the course and found that I still didn't agree with him. Well he said "oh that is very simple - when a man joins the Christian community, there is an interaction between his character and the character of the other members of the community. That is what I call regeneration by the holy spirit." Well that is quite different from what I mean by regeneration by the Holy Spirit as anthing could possibly be. Yet he will make this expression in a very pious way in talking over the radio and people will think he is a true Christian in his views. So a man can use the same language as another and be polls apart or two men can use very different language and actually be very close. We have to find out what they really mean by their language. Now among those who held to the nicean view, the word Homoousia had come to be accepted for the Nature of God - his essence - his being and consequently they all persisted in using the word -----ll that Christ is the same essence with the father. Now in Latin they were translating and they do to this day. -----by substancia which we would render into English as substance and that much more of a materialistic sense than essence. Su stance sounds like some physical thing. Now the word ousia has no idea of physical things. But the . essence of God the Father and the essence of God the Son is one essence - He is one God. But there were many people who said there was one -----10 and this word may be translated subsistence or even substance and so this word ----- is used by some people as a synonym for the ousia. And they were insisting there is one ----- while there were other people who said no there are three -----. There is one ousia but there are three -----. Now this word ----- literally translated into latin would be substantia which the latins were using as translation for ousia or essence. So it is very natural that many of them said there was one ----- but there were others who would say -