A Waw conjunctive can simply put two things side by side which occr simultaneously
or which are two different aspects of ... A Waw conjunctive merely connects,
but a waw conj conversive , in most cases , shows something which follows
after the thing that is m repeated. And certainly if it is imperfect with
waw conversive, and he did this, and mk he did that and he did the kother.
They are x not accepted on the smae- same level. They are usually thi gs that
come one after kh and the perfect with the waw conversive, is usually shown with
showing a perxe pefect. So I would be inclined to think that consecutive is
quite definitely involved. And so it will ...this is the prfect...give seed
to the one who is going to sow and bread wh00to the one who is going to eat.
Now, you migh say seed to the x sowers, x While sowing x is a participle it
has an kx h in it. It shows the type of man the man who is hired to go ax out
                                              whose
and sow. You have to give this man and/his vocation kh is sowing. You have to
give him seed. But in the parallels certeal certainly the parallels-is eater is
not an occpation. That is not a special type of man. So that here it means
the one who is going to eat, not the one who is characterized b eating, becauss
that is the characteristic of all of us, but the one who is going to eat. He will
give bread to the one who is going to eat, so I would think that is parallel to
the other --so we have this figure from nature, showing how God is going to provxid
certain things which He sends thatare caused of other things ...ad- and which
are x going toxxx accomplish a purpose.x for hich he sends it, and just as
this is true in nature. Similarly, he says, in the- another sphere kx it is
also going to be true. And so we look at that othe sphere. Mr. Lee , give us the
 next verse. I think it is a little better in English. If-yk you are going to
--there are x two ways to dxx do it. You could say, Thus , it is going to happen.
Thus, it will be ..and then you say, My word wich is such and x such will not
do so and so, and that wouldbd-one- be one way to o it. And the other way would
be the 890 way that you dx- have done it. To take my word as the purpose of