E-30

when you find there that the one there is Ged----- there described, but the child of man who was born. you find that whatm might be just a parallelism actually suggests that - the nature of Christs, though it does not clearly teach it , and so we have certain instances ... now in this particular case I would --- We think of God as ---- I don't think that I would over --- rather hesitate about finding ... maker as the plural might is very well first, but tix it doesn't seem to fit with the idea . We think of God xx as the husband of the chuckrch. I don't think that I have ever heard the church ... I doubt that that idea of the trinity ... I would question here .... I don't k like that word dispensation salism. Personally, I wish that people would do away & with that word, x. I know people who think that if a person is not a dispensationalists, then he is no good and I know of **k k** other persons who think that unless a person is **x**a dispensationscalists he is absolutely no good, and I know people in each k class who hold just about identically the same views. A great part of it is a matter of terminology, and I donx find that if you would go to ten different people. I don't care where you go, alsom-almset- almost anywone, unless they are utterly...you go to them and find say ... and if you don't have at least fiftene fifteen different definitions. The word is used for many - in so many dd- different ways...that is utterly unkreal-unrelated...I wokuld...it is sor of like the word libers ... And if a

person wants- If a person wants to change so that the government government does everything and nobody does anything. And there is nothing left...I wish ...and certainly that is I fear ...and othere(m. 3.25) and actually is it covers too wide an area , -so-that- of things that don't is necessarily belong together, so people don't necessarily stand together, of course there are different dispensations so. So the word is used in ...I wish that they would use...

-108-