because he emphasised over and over I predieted the former things and they have come to pass. Well, Isa. preett-predicted that long ago, now, we read in Isaiah's book again and we see how it is up to this point and we know that we an $x$ trust it for the rest, so I have xapredieted the former things, they have come to pass, and now, I am predicting new thingt which are goint to ..Yes, was there a further question on that. Now, from the view of the 2nd Isa. viewpoint, that- Feu-hafe-te- you have to say, the old thingswhy- are things which have come to pass which have been-ppeie- predicted, in some earlier portion, nin- not in this portion, are things which God did in an earlier-stieq-tife tive. They don't have that same strong argument from predietive prophecy., that you have ...and there is so much emphasis laid on it, that it rather takes away from the force of it. Well, then shall we look at forty nine. $\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{x}$ We looked yesterday at ..43:8..Am I wrong on that ...x 43:8-13. 43:8-and- ... Yes, $43: 8$ would you read us that verse Mr. Lee, you haven't prepared that, but you can read it to us easily. Heec Hosea, in line with the comparison we have a passage. It would so good to tace it imperative if we can but Imperative would be Hosea instead of Hosem:. The more natural way to take it is the way that Mr . Lee takes it, as a perfect. - The-merronaturan-way-to-telro- But I can't help feeling that since it is... Hosee..The parallel makes it seem very likely that that is the way to... and you notice that the word Hanaim and the word ...because the ...but if you take it as an imperative as Hosee rather that Hosee, as it stands here, well, then it would be parallel with this verse in $41: 20$. The context seems to g suggest that that is the corrget way to take it. Bring forth, then, the blind the people that have $\pm$ eyes are still blind and the people that have ears but are still deaf. E This is just one verse that is paralleled. It isthe whole passage thet is aparalled to this, ...it a summons to them. It is a little different language but the same general tone. Could you take the $t$ next one, Mr .-butz Butlsr. 1-74

