<u>#67</u>

road

Just like the man on the xxxxx to Emmaus. They said, he did mighty miracles. We thought he was the one who was to redeem Israel, yet they said that he had been taken and he had been killed. We were entirely wrong, they said, Jesus said, O fools, slow of hearts to believe all the prophets and ... In other words, Ought not Christ to have been ... and enter into glory? /Kook back Isaiah It says there that he performed great miracles, yet we thought he was smitten of God, and/afflicted. Why, you should have read Isaiah 53:4 and ... you X should have applied this to the coming Messiah and you should have ke seen that he is going to do great miracles. He is going to do great things. And when he does that, you should recognize him and not say He is smitten of God which is correct in a sense, but he is laying down his life voluntarily for the purpose of redeeming his own. He was not smitten of God ... He was not the victim of suffering that he couldn't help, a victim of an accident. He was **one nex** one who voluntarily took upon Himself our sin and our suffering, and that of course we have in the next verse, and what is the number of the next verse-number 5, and so number 5 is the meaning of the crucifixion which we they say, Who would have believed what they heard. Well, we shouldn't be judged too hardly for not believeing it, because who whou would have believed it. It just doesn't seem possible, but they say, Here is the situation, we completely misunderstood, and you notice how that line started with We, naturally-and verse five starts with who, this is what he did, and what did we do--wefailed to understand, but what was it that we should have understood. We should have understood that he was smitten_____, and how , Mr. Holliday , would you interpret _____ . But I think that we could make it a little more specific; that is the min shows, I don't think it is because of, or