

#69

NO progeny , no prosperity, no accomplishment...

It seems to me that the fact that it is the direct interpretation is made clarified by the fact that a little further down we read people (7.00) He will see his seed. He will see the result of his action in those who are born ~~to the~~ into His kingdom/~~of God~~. Throughout the generations. He was cutt off. We thought he was the one who would ~~be~~ restore the kingdom of Israel, but now he is gone. There is no effect. But the fact is that he has made tremendous effect. This is a rhetorical question. Here is a generation. Who will consider, who would consider that it would amount to anything? That is the way he was cut off. Again, I say, this was worked out the other way ~~around~~. Mr. Quek do you have a question? The only thing is with ~~has~~ occasionally has the idea of ~~respect~~ 'with respect to'. Most/ usually ~~it~~ indicates an object. It seems to me that both are possible here. You are saying here that ~~he~~ with respect to his generation who would consider it? And in that case you would normally repeat the 'it.' ~~That is the~~ That is the way many nations... With respect to his generations, out of these people, who would consider that he was cut off out of the land of the living? ~~They all thought~~ But the fact is that they all thought he was cut off from the land of the living. That to me does not seem to make any sense. It is ~~is~~ stating the opposite of what happened. All of his generation thought that he was cut~~ed~~ off out of the land of the living. And all of them did ~~not~~, until the believers saw the resurrection. And then they thought that he had not been cut/ off, and ~~that~~ that he was cut off only temporarily. The rest thought he was cut off permanently. So that, it does not seem to be ~~possible~~ impossible, it is entirely possible and many commentaries say that the correct interpretation is that as for his