

#71

generation? Who would think that he would ~~have~~ have any posterity? Any continuing influence? Any accomplishment? (of his ~~life~~ life?) He was killed, ~~his~~ his followers were scattered. It seems to be the answer. Who would ~~have thought~~ think ~~his generation from any generation?~~ that there is any, for he was cut off out of the land of the ~~living~~ living? But then, you have the answer. He was cut off out of the land of the living. ~~Who~~ Who would have considered his generation? The answer is that it was from the cause of the transgression of my people... Some take it as 'for the ~~of~~ transgression of my people to whom the stroke was due.' That is possible, too. The word LAMOUTH, to him or to them, It could mean either one of them. But we cannot be dogmatic/ which of these it ~~should~~ should be.
to him or
But we cannot be dogmatic which it is. The stroke was due/to them, ~~not to take~~ to ~~take~~ take it as singular or plural. It could mean either one. But we cannot be dogmatic about it, ~~which~~ which it is, whether the stroke was due to ~~him or~~ them or the transgression of the people from ... this stroke came to him. I am inclined to think ~~that~~ that the simpler form is preferable... He was cut off out of the land of the living. This is why... You would say, who ~~is~~ would declare his generation? ~~But~~(2.65)