#xotx72

-235()

Try both ways and see which makes most sense. What is the word right after it--what does it say? We were just asking whether ... if it is the sign of the accusative, it is he gave wicked ones. If it is with , it is He gave wicked ones. And each makes perfect sense. He gave wicked ones or He gave with wicked ones. Well, I would say that in my observation that is normatl- normally used with words that are definite definite but as to whether it was impossible to use it with an indefinite, I would require more than the word of any Elementary Grammar to prove it. It would take much more than that if any Elementary Grammar...it is humanly impossible, and in a case like **x** that for an elementary book, it has to be examined very closely--one may say that it is usually used, it if one says the kerker -- now I have not eheckchecked whether ... the only way that you can prove it is to exmaineexamine the oss cases. If you were to go through the Bible and examine ne all th cases and see that there was no question that \_\_\_\_\_...which had an indefinite, then I think that you would be justified in making it as definite a standard as possible, but if you found that three or four **xxxe** ... now, with this you have many many cases. But if you had --it is entirely possible that a word may **x** be used in one of two ways, and ... the other one doesn't happen to ... and yet it might have been a very common usage in speech. So it is hard to make a very g negative rule. You see, that is what they dome with this "they shall sprinkle many nations. They have 24 cases of sprinkle and if all ... sprinkle-wath they never say sprinkle the -- so it msu-- must mean startle, to g... it is a tremendous jump. But in English meaning to sprinkle water on the lawn or to sprinkle blood on something or water on something, always with the object of the the sprinkled... and **x** fax you have no right to make a rule, you cannot .... because your 51st case... it is xxxxx such an