does anybody know one way or the other...but here he has been very ...like the stone rolled away...how mucy- much of this did Isa. understand...they didn't know what it meanst...

-238-

#74

His grave with the wicked and the rich man in His death because He had done so no violence me nor any decieity in His mouth. Now what on earth does that make mean. Did they make His grave with the wicked because He had m done no violence. Because there was no deceit in His mouth. Or ...upon...it can be & because , it can be although. Did they make His grave with the works wicked....although to He had done no violence. Well, that would fit thefirst part, but it wouldn't fit the rich man's took tomb. If they make it because ... it doesn't fit the first part and if you make it although it doesn't fit the second part, and it doesn't fit the s econd part particularly well anyway. There was a keg lot more reason than that. Here was somebody that was crucixfied by the Romansx who was innocent, there were many innocent people. They deserved eternal punishment, but words were and there had been at no deceit a particularly in their mouth...they were ino--innocent of the particular thing for which they were being kixlled. This second half in my opinion does not go with the first half at all. The verse should end at the end of the statement...maybe the first half should be turned on to the first half... I wouldn't be at all surprised if the ... I am inclined to think that iss ... now, I wouldn't be dogmatic on that. Although they intended to bury Him with thesex transgressors, they wouldn't bury Him in a rich man's box tomb k because He had done no violence...and ...but Josephsck It seems to me that it is much me better that ... and there was no deceit in His moguth. Yet, it please the Lord. to ... He made ...it was God that caused Him to go into this affliction...He was in such a miscarriage of justice. Yet, in spite ofthis,it seems to me that the last line