who erect a new religious or social ideal or develop a new concept of God, makes out of their announcement of the judgement of a demanding, and practically oriented will of God, a lesson, an idea, and from their view of the collision of the world of God with the earthly world, a religious or moral system that reaches the height of the abstraction of ethical monotheism. No wonder that a complete devetailing of their preaching in this system will not succeed and, for this, inconsistency, either their contradiction or the insertion of a later admirer must be made responsible.

If Judaism hasn't finally drawn the "logical conclusion" (p.70) from the prophetical tradition: "Religion as free, individual choice without regard for race or nation," so one must question the actual center of the Old Testament, whether the meaning of the in Judaism 2/7° concept of election, as certainly the New Testament extent-of-view perhaps has left it out, does not represent the continuation of the prophetical tradition in its adjustment with the priestly, as it (particularism) makes an unobstructed religious individuality possible, because it is always aimed at by the prophets for the holy Volk to achieve world-wide effect by the reign of God. In any case it might be a little teosimple to want to ascertain the essence of Judaism The purpose of this particularism must be sought with racial, national, and religious particularism, which occurs simultaneously in such a noticeable way with the finest witnesses of universalism, to clarify it in connection with the election. Then one will also not intend to explain the special New Testament hely universalism any longer as the logical consequence of Old

Testament premises, but will recognize in the divine revelatory